

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/719,062	10/719,062 11/24/2003		Atsunori Tsuji	046124-5254	9116
9629	7590	04/22/2005		EXAMINER	
		& BOCKIUS LL	MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH		
1111 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE NW WASHINGTON, DC 20004				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,	,		1654	

DATE MAILED: 04/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/719,062	TSUJI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1654				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stated the state of th	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS tute, cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. From the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10	March 2005.					
	his action is non-final.					
<u>, </u>						
closed in accordance with the practice unde						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are pending in the app	lication					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdown						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	iam nom ochologialon.					
6) Claim(s) <u>1-7 and 9</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s)is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	I/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami		ha Fuggiana				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- · ·	. ,				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the						
	Examiner. Note the attached Of	nice Action of form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)□ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
Copies of the certified copies of the pr	iority documents have been rec	eived in this National Stage				
application from the International Bure		•				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a li	st of the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Áttachmans/a)						
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	 □	(575.445)				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumr Paper No(s)/Ma	nary (PTO-413) ail Date				
3) 🔀 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	8) 5) Notice of Inform	nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>June 28, 2004</u> .	6)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Application/Control Number: 10/719,062

Art Unit: 1654

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

Applicant's election without traverse of election of the species nutrients, in the reply filed on March 10, 2005 is acknowledged.

Claims Pending

Applicant has cancelled claim 8. Claims 1-7 and 9 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 1, the recitation "having branches through the branches" is unclear as to what Applicant is meaning. Clarification is needed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later

Application/Control Number: 10/719,062

Art Unit: 1654

invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-7 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoffmann et al. (US 5,873,196) in view of Shin et al. (US 5,459,121).

Hoffmann *et al.* (US 5,873,196) teach an implantable device for the release of active substances to plants which the substance is distributed to the open pores of the plant. Any material may be used as active substance such as plant restoratives, growth regulators or nutrients (abstract, column 3, lines 49-54; column 4, lines 18-22). Also Hoffmann *et al.* teach that low water potential inhibits the release of active compounds (column 1, liens 25-29). Low water potential is caused by transpiration, which can be prevented by removing leaves or applying an anti-transpirant. Hoffmann *et al.* does not specifically teach removal of leaves or using a chemical that closes the stomata.

Shin et al. (US 5,459,121) teach a method that can reduce plant water loss by closing stomata openings and reducing plant transpiration by chemical means (abstract).

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine Hoffmann *et al*. with Shin *et al*. because Hoffmann *et al*. taught a device for the release of active substance into plants and Shin *et al*. taught that the stomata openings can be closed by chemical means was advantageous. Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect that one could close the stomata openings by chemical means and remove the leaves to inhibit transpiration and administer the active substance as described in the claimed invention. Based on this reasonable expectation for success, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify the teachings of the references.

From the teaching of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the cited references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Page 4

Summary

No claim is allowed.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

sbme

BRUCE R. CAMPELL, PH.D SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

Brune Compell